

Comments	AONB Response
While it may be useful to apply these terms, it is important that doing so does not weaken the protection afforded to natural assets to which no monetary value can be attributed.	Covered in existing wording
<p>It would be helpful to see further quantification of, or a framework to achieve a greater depth of understanding of the natural capital figures for individual ecosystem services within the AONB.</p> <p>The water environment has historically been undervalued when considering Natural Capital. It appears that the plan considers that rivers and wetland as a 'public goods' recent papers on the valuation of floodplains, not least in the economic implications of reducing flood risk should be a consideration in the valuation of the AONBs rivers and wetlands.</p> <p>There is currently work being completed on the Natural Capital of the river Stour. This considers the historic features connected with rivers. It would be helpful to consider this resource in the evaluation of Dorset's natural capital.</p>	Action planning
We supports the use of natural capital accounting principles to better understand and safeguard natural and cultural assets.	Support
<p>I suggest that you add, at the end of the list of public goods, "contribution of all these to health and well-being".</p> <p>I confess that I find the wide diagram difficult to absorb : I think you would do well to explain, in a brief text, precisely what you are trying to show, with its implications for policy or action.</p>	Amendments made
This is a difficult concept to fully grasp as it is not capital in the true sense in that it is not the product of investment and cannot be fully valued by market price sales	No changes recommended
We suggest adding "and access to open country" to the 5th bullet point in section 8.2	Amendments made
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A report annually on progress towards achieving the 17% land and inlands water conservation target by 2020 is essential so that Parishes can understand what <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the plan is, and b) what Parishes can do to assist. • A long-term incorporation of values of benefits of natural capital needs to be implemented for each and every element of natural capital and public goods. 	Action planning
BTC supports the use of natural capital accounting principles to better understand and safeguard natural and cultural assets.	Support
We welcome the adoption of a natural capital approach, and in particular, the contribution towards clean water as a regulating service and the mental health benefits associated with contact with the wider environment and landscape. It would be helpful to see further quantification of, or a framework to achieve, greater depth of understanding of the natural capital figures for individual services within the AONB.	Support
None except to say well done on making sense of what can be a daunting and complex concept.	Support
Reference to the Dorset LNP's Natural Capital Investment Strategy could be made here: www.dorsetlnp.org.uk/hres/Dorset-LNP-Natural-Capital-Investment-Strategy-2016.pdf	No changes recommended
As to the document itself, it is difficult to see why 8.3. 8.4 on Public Good are there at all. The £numbers are quite meaningless and pointless. Are they annual figures or capital values, how were these figures arrived at and what is one meant to do with the figures? Is someone going to pay us these amounts? And the strange chart just before 9 just baffles me: what on earth does it say?	Some amendments made